

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,426	03/30/2004	Tony A. Cutshall	DEP 5069	3354
27777 PHILIP S. JOE	7590 07/29/200 INSON	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			GEORGE, TARA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/812,426	CUTSHALL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TARA R. GEORGE	3733	

111					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	ne			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the		ln			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortes set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later than a may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	e as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or 	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sponding number of finally rejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	se attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	se attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (1 102-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	—· ⊌e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the	е			
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) who with enew or amended claims would be rejected is provided.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered icient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and	d			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provishowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. \(\times \tau \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO. 13. Other:	/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
10. [_] Suite					
/Eduardo C. Robert/	/Tara R George/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	Examiner, Art Unit 3733				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1, 18, 30 and 34 contain added limitations that would require further consideration and/or search as such were not previously presented.